





The Case for Democracy:

Are Democracies Better for Social Protection of the Poor, Gender Equality, and Social Cohesion?

Scientific Evidence Shows:

- Full democracies spend 100% more than closed dictatorships on social protection policies benefitting the poor and vulnerable.
- The degree of democracy is more important than governance (fiscal capacity) for increasing spending on social protection policies.
- Democracy is a significant factor in achieving higher levels of egalitarian gender attitudes.
- Democracy facilitates social cohesion and makes cooperation for the common good more likely.

Democratization Increases Social Protections

A large body of rigorous scientific studies demonstrates that democratic institutions have a positive effect on increasing public expenditures on social protection policies, favoring poor and vulnerable groups in society.

Strong, robust evidence demonstrates that democratization, along-side fiscal capacity, leads to a substantial increase in spending on social protection. Fiscal capacity¹ alone has only minor positive effects on the expansion of social protection policies and increased spending that protects the poor and vulnerable. **Yet, transitioning from a closed dictatorship to a full democracy leads on average to more than a 100% increase in spending on social protection policies.**





The positive impact of welfare programs in areas such as poverty and inequality reduction, human development, and economic growth is evidenced by a large body of scientific literature (Murshed et al. 2020), making this dividend of democracy of great significance for policy makers. Evidence also shows that the strong relationship between democratization and increasing social protection is due to the vertical accountability mechanisms inherent in well-developed democracies.

"Pressure from below" in strong democracies creates incentives for elected politicians to deliver public services (Acemoglu & Robinson 2006; Sen 2001). The democracy-support community should be aware that mediocre levels of democracy are not enough.

Evidence demonstrates that democracy also helps to improve the provision of public goods such as electricity. For such goods, democracy only delivers when corruption in the government is not widespread. A country needs to be below 0.7 on V-Dem's 0-1 corruption scale for democracy to deliver (Boräng et al. 2021). For illustration, 124 countries in the world were below that threshold in 2022. Countries like Bolivia, Kenya, Philippines, and Ukraine are just around the threshold while Ghana, Mexico, Sri Lanka, and Romania are clearly below.

Gender Equality and Social Cohesion

Evidence from recent empirical studies demonstrates that gender equality is much more likely to be the norm in democratic countries. Flawed democracy leads to almost 33% lower levels of egalitarian gender attitudes compared to fully democratic countries, and hybrid as well as authoritarian regimes to over 60% lower levels (Zagrebina 2020).

The driving factor for egalitarian gender attitudes seems to be higher levels of high-quality education. Importantly, the positive effect of education is conditional on the strength of democracy. Education is much less likely to improve gender-equality attitudes in non-democratic countries (Zagrebina 2020, Shu & Meagher 2018), with obvious policy implications.

More broadly, democracy facilitates social cohesion, which holds societies together. This is because democratization also changes the social organization of a society and its relationship with the state (Zagrebina 2020).

1 1% increase in fiscal capacity increases social protection spending by 0.387%, both as share of GDP.

Democracies include mechanisms and institutions that provide social cohesion, such as allowing for trustful relationships in society, for accommodating competing interests and the inclusion of diverse identities through political equality. Empirical evidence indicates that individuals cooperate more for the common good in democracies than in autocracies. In addition, democracy is decisive for public policy-making in times of crises like the current Covid-19 pandemic. In socially cohesive democracies, policies turned out to be less harsh and more sustainable (Leininger et al. 2021, Leininger forth-coming 2021).

Trust in the government is another important factor. Studies show that citizens' confidence and trust in the government's ability to administer public goods is essential for the provision of the goods (Rothstein 2011).

Autocratization typically erodes trustful and predictable relationships between the state and society. The current wave of autocratization, characterized by polarization and exclusionary politics, therefore poses a risk also to social cohesion.

Democratization should not be expected to translate immediately into more gender-equal representation, but it has effects in the long term. Fallon et al. (2012) show that over time with each successive democratic election, political cultures eventually transform and women's participation increases. Political culture contributes more to increasing the political participation of women than socioeconomic factors (Paxton & Kunovich 2003), and high-quality democracy is especially effective in changing the political culture toward more equal political participation (Fallon et al. 2012).

REFERENCES

- Acemoglu, D., & Robinson, J. A. (2006). Economic origins of dictatorship and democracy. Cambridge University Press.
- Boräng, F., Jagers, S. C., & Povitkina, M. (2021). In Light of Democracy and Corruption: Institutional Determinants of Electricity Provision. *The Energy Journal*, 42(2).
- Fallon, K. M., Swiss, L., & Viterna, J. (2012). Resolving the democracy paradox: Democratization and women's legislative representation in developing nations, 1975 to 2009. American Sociological Review, 77(3), 380-408.
- Leininger, Julia, Malerba, Daniele von Schiller, Armin and Strupat, Christoph. (2021). Getting policy responses to Covid19 right – how social cohesion and social protection matter", manuscript (submitted)
- Leininger et al. (forthcoming 2021): Background note: Social cohesion

 Concept, measurement and country profiles. Discussion Paper, Bonn: Deutsches Institut für Entwicklungspolitik (DIE), soon accessible on: www.socialcohsion.info.
- Murshed, S. M., Bergougui, B., Badiuzzaman, M., & Pulok, M. H. (2020). Fiscal Capacity, Democratic Institutions and Social Welfare Outcomes in Developing Countries. *Defence and Peace Economics*, 1-26.
- Paxton, P., & Kunovich, S. (2003). Women's political representation: The importance of ideology. Social forces, 82(1), 87-113.
- Rothstein, B. (2011). The quality of government corruption, social trust, and inequality in international perspective. University of Chicago Press.
- Sen, A. (2001). Development as freedom. Oxford Paperbacks.
- Shu, X., & Meagher, K. D. (2018). Beyond the stalled gender revolution: Historical and cohort dynamics in gender attitudes from 1977 to 2016. Social Forces, 96(3), 1243-1274.
- Zagrebina, A. (2020). Attitudes towards Gender Equality: Does Being a Democracy Matter?. Comparative Sociology, 19(3), 305-334.

The Case for Democracy week 22-25 March 2021 set out to gather evidence on what democracies deliver with a focus on: economic development, human development, domestic and international security, and combating climate change. With increasing levels of autocratization around the world, the Varieties of Democracy (V-Dem) Institute in collaboration with the Directorate-General for International Partnerships of the European Commission initiated this joint project. The objective is to build a strong case for policy makers and other development actors to continue their engagement for promotion and protection of democracy. The 'Case for Democracy' week was partly funded by the European Union and was organized by Nazifa Alizada, Dr. Vanessa Boese, Prof. Staffan Lindberg, Martin Lundstedt, Natalia Natsika, and Shreeya Pillai.



Co-funded by the European Union

ABOUT V-DEM INSTITUTE

V-Dem is a unique approach to conceptualization and measurement of democracy. The headquarters – the V-Dem Institute – is based at the University of Gothenburg with 23 staff, and a project team across the world with 5 Principal Investigators, 19 Project Managers, 33 Regional Managers, 134 Country Coordinators, Research Assistants, and 3,500+ Country Experts. V-Dem is one of the world's largest data collection projects on democracy.



Department of Political Science University of Gothenburg contact@v-dem.net +46 (0) 31 786 30 43 www.v-dem.net www.facebook.com/vdeminstitute www.facebook.com/vdeminstitute www.linkedin.com/company/vdeminstitute